SheetRisk Exposure Report

Hale Commons Suite 104 (demo sample)

Anytown

SheetRisk's first read of this set, Nov 10, 2026, 12:28 AM EST.

COVER PAGE

27 potential change orders and RFIs found in SheetRisk's first read of 13 sheets (18 high, 7 medium and 2 low impact). The quotes are copied word for word from the drawings.

1 Project header

Project	Hale Commons Suite 104 (demo sample)
Location	Anytown
Sheets in index	13
Sheets read	13
Not in this read	Nothing
Report	Exposure Report · Nov 10, 2026, 12:28 AM EST

Automated AI report. Not engineering advice and not sealed. See the important notice at the end of this report before relying on any finding.

2 Scope and limits

Report ID	sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42
Software version	8653b7e6-0421
Report made	Nov 10, 2026, 12:28 AM EST
Files uploaded	Nov 10, 2026, 12:09 AM EST
Sheets analyzed	13: G0.0, G0.1, A1.0, A2.0, A3.0, A4.0, A5.0, S1.0, M1.0, P1.0, E1.0, E2.0, K1.0
Not read	None listed

This report is not exhaustive. It covers only what the software could read in the files uploaded. Anything on a sheet listed as not read, any part of a sheet the software could not read or read wrongly, and anything not in the uploaded files is not covered.

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

3 Potential change orders and RFIs — 27 items

High impact (18)

Item 1 · Walk-in floors: slab depressed for quarry tile vs prefab floors on existing slab

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets A3.0, K1.0

> **A3.0 says**
> "…DEPRESS THE SLAB 4" AND INSTALL QT-1 QUARRY TILE INSIDE THE WALK-INS, FLUSH WITH THE KITCHEN FLOOR…."

> **K1.0 says**
> "7. WALK-IN COOLER AND FREEZER: PREFABRICATED INSULATED FLOORS BY THE WALK-IN MANUFACTURER, SET ON THE EXISTING SLAB. PROVIDE AN INTERIOR ALUMINUM RAMP AT EACH DOOR."

Question to send

Which walk-in floor is built, the depressed slab with quarry tile or the prefabricated floor on the existing slab?

Why it matters

A3.0 notes 2 and 4 depress the slab 4 in. at walk-ins 104 and 105 for flush quarry tile; K1.0 note 7 sets prefabricated insulated floors on the existing slab.

Item 2 · Sprinkler work stated as none, but GC sprinkler contractor has a deferred submittal

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.0, G0.1

> **G0.1 says**
> "10. FIRE PROTECTION: THE EXISTING AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM (NFPA 13) TO REMAIN. NO SPRINKLER WORK IN THIS CONTRACT."

> **G0.0 says**
> "NO.: 2 · DEFERRED SUBMITTAL: FIRE SPRINKLER MODIFICATIONS AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS · PREPARED BY: GC'S FIRE SPRINKLER CONTRACTOR"

Question to send

Ask the architect to confirm in writing whether the sprinkler modifications, heads and hydraulic calculations are in the GC's contract.

Why it matters

G0.1 says no sprinkler work is in this contract. G0.0 deferred submittal no. 2 gives sprinkler modifications and hydraulic calculations to the GC's fire sprinkler contractor, and A2.0 requires head relocation and added heads by that contractor.

Item 3 · Gas service: base bid 2 PSI landlord service vs 7 in. w.c. utility meter

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.0, G0.1, P1.0

> **G0.0 says**
> "…THE EXISTING 2 PSI GAS SERVICE AND METER ARE PROVIDED BY THE LANDLORD…."

> **G0.1 says**
> "…GAS SERVICE AND METER (BASE BID) · BY LANDLORD…"

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

P1.0 says

"…A NEW TENANT GAS METER AND SERVICE AT 7 IN.…"

Question to send

Ask the engineer and landlord which gas pressure and meter source govern the base bid and whether regulators are needed.

Why it matters

G0.0 note 6 and G0.1 give the base-bid gas service and meter to the landlord at 2 PSI. P1.0 note 1 gives a new utility meter at 7 in. w.c. with no regulators and sizes the piping for that pressure.

Item 4 · Roof curb work is assigned to the GC, MC, fan maker and landlord's roofer

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.0, G0.1, S1.0, M1.0

G0.1 says

"ITEM: ROOF AND ROOF WARRANTY · BY LANDLORD: EXISTING · BY TENANT (GC): PENETRATIONS AND CURBS · NOTES: USE THE LANDLORD'S ROOFER"

G0.0 says

"11. COORDINATE ALL ROOF WORK WITH THE LANDLORD'S ROOFING CONTRACTOR TO KEEP THE ROOF WARRANTY IN FORCE."

S1.0 says

"3. ROOF CURBS, OPENINGS AND OPENING FRAMES FOR RTU-1, MAU-1, EF-1 AND EF-2 ARE FURNISHED AND INSTALLED BY THE MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR."

M1.0 says

"…20" VENTILATED CURB WITH HINGE KIT, FURNISHED BY THE FAN MANUFACTURER AND INSTALLED BY THE GC."

Question to send

Ask the architect which party furnishes and installs each curb and whether the landlord's roofer must set them.

Why it matters

G0.1 says use the landlord's roofer for penetrations and curbs, and G0.0 requires roof work coordinated with that roofer to keep the warranty. S1.0 says the MC furnishes and installs the curbs, and M1.0 says the EF-1 curb is furnished by the fan manufacturer and installed by the GC.

Item 5 · Building fire alarm tie-in is assigned to the landlord's FA contractor on G0.1 and to the electrical contractor on E1.0

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.1, E1.0

G0.1 says

"…THE TIE-IN TO THE BUILDING FIRE ALARM SYSTEM IS MADE BY THE LANDLORD'S FIRE ALARM CONTRACTOR AT THE TENANT'S COST."

E1.0 says

"8. CONNECT THE TENANT FIRE ALARM CONTROL PANEL TO THE BUILDING FIRE ALARM SYSTEM. THE CONNECTION IS MADE BY THE ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR."

Why it matters

G0.1 says the landlord's fire alarm contractor makes the tie-in at the tenant's cost, and the landlord/tenant matrix shows the tenant only pays. E1.0 says the electrical contractor makes the same connection. The landlord's contractor sits

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

outside the GC contract, so the split changes who prices and performs the tie-in. Question: Confirm in writing who makes the tenant FACP-to-building FA connection and what the GC must price, including any cost for the electrical contractor's connection work.

Item 6 · Meter socket: landlord furnishes on E1.0, EC furnishes on G0.1

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.1, E1.0

> **G0.1 says**
> "ITEM: METER SOCKET AND CT CABINET · BY LANDLORD: - · BY TENANT (GC): YES, BY THE EC"

> **E1.0 says**
> "METER M-104 NEW METER SOCKET IN THE LANDLORD METER STACK, FURNISHED AND INSTALLED BY THE LANDLORD"

Question to send

Who furnishes and installs meter M-104 and the CT cabinet, and should the EC scope change?

Why it matters

G0.1 Table T2 gives the meter socket and CT cabinet to the tenant GC by the EC, while E1.0 says the new meter socket in the landlord meter stack is furnished and installed by the landlord.

Item 7 · Door 101 needs panic hardware per G0.1, but HW-1 lists deadlock and thumbturn

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.1, A5.0, A1.0

> **G0.1 says**
> "6. DOORS 101 AND 107 SERVE AN A-2 OCCUPANCY WITH AN OCCUPANT LOAD OF 50 OR MORE AND SHALL HAVE PANIC HARDWARE (IBC 1010.2.9)."

> **A5.0 says**
> "1 STOREFRONT DEADLOCK WITH KEY CYLINDER AND THUMBTURN"

> **A1.0 says**
> "1 EXISTING STOREFRONT TO REMAIN. PROVIDE NEW ENTRY HARDWARE AT DOOR 101; SEE THE DOOR SCHEDULE ON A5.0."

Question to send

Confirm whether HW-1 must be revised to a listed panic or exit device, and price the device, storefront modification and keying change if so.

Why it matters

G0.1 note 6 requires panic hardware on doors 101 and 107 for an A-2 occupant load of 50 or more. A5.0 HW-1 for door 101 lists a storefront deadlock with key cylinder and thumbturn and no panic or exit device.

Item 8 · MAU-1 VFD: M1.0 schedule calls for a VFD; K1.0 note 3 says none

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets K1.0, M1.0

> **K1.0 says**
> "3. MUA-1: TWO-SPEED MAKE-UP AIR FAN CONTROLLED FROM THE HOOD CONTROL PANEL. NO VARIABLE FREQUENCY DRIVE."

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

> **M1.0 says**
> "TAG…"

Question to send

Does the make-up air fan have a VFD, who furnishes it, and what is the correct unit tag?

Why it matters

K1.0 note 3 calls the make-up air fan MUA-1, two-speed with no variable frequency drive. M1.0 schedule tag MAU-1 is direct drive with a VFD furnished by the MC.

Item 9 · Water heater WH-1 input is 18 kW on plumbing and 12 kW on electrical

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets P1.0, E1.0

> **P1.0 says**
> "…18 KW · VOLTS / PH…"

> **E1.0 says**
> "CKT: 18 · DESCRIPTION: WH-1 WATER HEATER, 12 KW · VA: 5,530 · BKR: 3 · BKR: 3 · VA: 4,000"

Question to send

Is the WH-1 input 18 kW or 12 kW?

Why it matters

P1.0 schedule gives WH-1 an 18 kW input. E1.0 circuit 18 describes WH-1 as a 12 kW heater.

Item 10 · Grease interceptor sized 1,000 gallons on plumbing and 750 on kitchen schedule

CONFLICT HIGH IMPACT

Sheets P1.0, K1.0

> **P1.0 says**
> "…ALL FLOOR SINKS AND KITCHEN WASTE DRAIN TO A 1,000 GALLON EXTERIOR GREASE INTERCEPTOR, GI-1.…"

> **K1.0 says**
> "…GREASE INTERCEPTOR, 750 GALLONS, EXTERIOR · BY…"

Question to send

Is the grease interceptor 750 gallons or 1,000 gallons?

Why it matters

P1.0 note 3 sends all grease waste to a 1,000 gallon interceptor GI-1. K1.0 item 20 and note 6 size the interceptor at 750 gallons.

Item 11 · Referenced sheets FA1.0, A5.1, civil and roof plan are not in the set

MISSING NUMBER HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.1, A1.0, P1.0, S1.0, M1.0

> **G0.1 says**
> "…TENANT NOTIFICATION DEVICES PER FA1.0.…"

> **A1.0 says**
> "2 ORDER COUNTER, 36" HIGH, WITH A 36" WIDE ACCESSIBLE SECTION AT 34" AFF. SEE DETAIL 3/A5.1 FOR THE COUNTER SECTION."

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

> **P1.0 says**
> “1-1/2" G UP. ROOF PIPING TO MAU-1 AND RTU-1”

> **M1.0 says**
> “6. ROUTE ALL GAS PIPING ON THE ROOF ON PIPE SUPPORTS. SEE P1.0 FOR SIZES.”

> **S1.0 says**
> “ROOF FRAMING PLAN AND NOTES”

Question to send

Obtain FA1.0, A5.1, the civil drawings and a roof plan showing drains, vents, flues, intakes, gas piping and roof access before pricing roof and site scope.

Why it matters

G0.1 refers to FA1.0 for notification devices and A1.0 refers to A5.1 for the counter section. P1.0 points to the civil drawings for the interceptor, and S1.0 covers framing only with no sheet showing roof drains, vents, flues or roof access.

Item 12 · Walk-in condensing units CU-1 and CU-2 not scheduled, framed, or sized on roof

MISSING NUMBER HIGH IMPACT

Sheets K1.0, S1.0, M1.0, E1.0, G0.0

> **K1.0 says**
> “8. THE WALK-IN REMOTE CONDENSING UNITS, CU-1 AND CU-2, ARE ROOF-MOUNTED. POWER AND SUPPORTS BY THE GC'S TRADES; SEE MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL.”

> **K1.0 says**
> “WALK-IN COOLER AND FREEZER (ITEMS 15, 16). SEE NOTE 7 REMOTE CONDENSING UNITS CU-1, CU-2 ON THE ROOF. SEE NOTE 8”

> **S1.0 says**
> “…THE EXISTING ROOF FRAMING IS ASSUMED TO BE IN GOOD CONDITION AND ADEQUATE FOR THE NEW EQUIPMENT LOADS IN THE EQUIPMENT LOAD TABLE….”

> **E1.0 says**
> “CKT: 15 · DESCRIPTION: WALK-IN EVAPORATORS (ITEMS 15, 16) · BKR: 20 · BKR: 20”

> **G0.0 says**
> “4. NEW ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT: RTU-1, MAU-1, EF-1 AND EF-2, WITH NEW ROOF OPENINGS AND FRAMING.”

Question to send

Please provide CU-1 and CU-2 weight, curb or stand, roof location, circuit size and refrigerant route, and add them to M1.0, E1.0 and S1.0.

Why it matters

K1.0 notes 7 and 8 place walk-in condensing units CU-1 and CU-2 on the roof, with power and supports by the GC's trades. M1.0 schedules only RTU-1, MAU-1, EF-1 and EF-2, the S1.0 load table omits CU-1 and CU-2, and E1.0 shows a circuit only for the evaporators.

Item 13 · MAU-1 to EF-1 hood panel interlock not assigned to any trade

MISSING NUMBER HIGH IMPACT

Sheets M1.0, E1.0

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

> **M1.0 says**
> "…INTERLOCK WITH EF-1 AT THE HOOD CONTROL PANEL"

> **E1.0 says**
> "5. PROVIDE A DISCONNECT WITHIN SIGHT OF RTU-1, MAU-1, EF-1 AND EF-2."

Question to send

Which trade wires and starts up the MAU-1 to EF-1 interlock at the hood control panel?

Why it matters

M1.0 requires an interlock between MAU-1 and EF-1 at the hood control panel. E1.0 carries only disconnects within sight of RTU-1, MAU-1, EF-1 and EF-2, with no interlock wiring, control panel or start-up.

Item 14 · Water heater WH-2 is shown on plan but not in the schedule

MISSING NUMBER HIGH IMPACT

Sheets P1.0

> **P1.0 says**
> "7. THE RESTROOM LAVATORIES ARE SERVED BY A POINT-OF-USE WATER HEATER, WH-2, ABOVE THE CEILING IN EACH RESTROOM."

> **P1.0 says**
> "…WH-1 · TYPE…"

Question to send

What are the size, input, voltage and recovery for WH-2?

Why it matters

P1.0 note 7 and LAV-1 use WH-2 for lavatory hot water. The water heater schedule on P1.0 carries only WH-1.

Item 15 · Gas solenoid valve interlocked with hood has no named supplier, installer or wiring

MISSING NUMBER HIGH IMPACT

Sheets P1.0, K1.0, E1.0, G0.0

> **P1.0 says**
> "GAS SOLENOID VALVE, INTERLOCKED WITH THE HOOD SUPPRESSION SYSTEM"

> **P1.0 says**
> "5. THE GAS SOLENOID VALVE CLOSES WHEN THE HOOD FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM DISCHARGES. MANUAL RESET."

> **K1.0 says**
> "…EXHAUST HOOD, TYPE I, 14'-0", WITH FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM AND HOOD CONTROL PANEL · BY…"

> **G0.0 says**
> "7. KITCHEN EQUIPMENT IS FURNISHED AND SET BY THE KES. FINAL CONNECTIONS BY THE GC'S PLUMBING AND ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS. SEE K1.0."

Question to send

Who supplies, installs and wires the gas solenoid valve on the gas riser?

Why it matters

P1.0 shows a gas solenoid valve that closes on hood discharge. K1.0 gives the hood and suppression to KES with no gas connection, and G0.0 says final connections are by the GC's trades. E1.0 shows no circuit for the valve.

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

Item 16 · Sheet A5.1 is referred to but not in the set

MISSING DOCUMENT | HIGH IMPACT

Sheets A1.0, A4.0

> **A1.0 says**
> "3/A5.1"

> **A4.0 says**
> "3/A5.1"

Question to send

Please issue sheet A5.1, or say which sheet in the set carries that information.

Why it matters

A1.0, A4.0 refer to sheet A5.1 (2 references), but it is not in the drawing set. What it shows is not in the bid documents.

Item 17 · Fire alarm device layout is on FA1.0, which is not in the set

MISSING DOCUMENT | HIGH IMPACT

Sheets G0.1

> **G0.1 says**
> "…THE TIE-IN TO THE BUILDING FIRE ALARM SYSTEM IS MADE BY THE LANDLORD'S FIRE ALARM CONTRACTOR AT THE TENANT'S COST."

Question to send

Please issue FA1.0 so the notification device count, locations and conduit can be priced.

Why it matters

G0.1 note 11 says tenant notification devices are per FA1.0, and E1.0 also refers to FA1.0, but FA1.0 is not in this set.

Item 18 · Civil drawings and landlord site plan for grease interceptor not in set

MISSING DOCUMENT | HIGH IMPACT

Sheets P1.0, G0.1

> **P1.0 says**
> "6" GW TO THE 1,000 GALLON EXTERIOR GREASE INTERCEPTOR GI-1. SEE THE CIVIL DRAWINGS"

> **G0.1 says**
> "ITEM: GREASE INTERCEPTOR · BY LANDLORD: - · BY TENANT (GC): X · NOTES: LOCATION PER THE LANDLORD'S SITE PLAN"

Question to send

Provide the civil drawings and landlord site plan showing the GI-1 location and the exterior grease waste run.

Why it matters

P1.0 sends the GI-1 interceptor location and site piping to civil drawings. G0.1 says the grease interceptor location is per the landlord's site plan. Neither sheet is in this set.

Medium impact (7)

Item 19 · Mop basin MS-1 dimensions differ between plan note and fixture schedule

CONFLICT | MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets A1.0, P1.0

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

> **A1.0 says**
> “4 MOP BASIN MS-1: 36" x 24" FLOOR BASIN WITH A WALL-MOUNTED SERVICE FAUCET AND HOSE BIBB. SEE P1.0.”

> **P1.0 says**
> “…24" x 24" x 10" FLOOR BASIN, MOLDED STONE, WALL FAUCET WITH VACUUM BREAKER · CW…”

Question to send

Which MS-1 basin size is correct, 36 in. x 24 in. or 24 in. x 24 in. x 10 in.?

Why it matters

A1.0 note 4 gives mop basin MS-1 as 36 in. x 24 in.; the P1.0 fixture schedule gives 24 in. x 24 in. x 10 in. for the same tag.

Item 20 · North accent wall finish level 4 in room 101 schedule vs level 5 in detail

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets A3.0, A4.0

> **A3.0 says**
> “…ACCENT PAINT P-2 AT THE NORTH WALL….”

> **A4.0 says**
> “GWB, LEVEL 5 FINISH, PAINT P-2 (ACCENT), FULL HEIGHT”

Question to send

Which finish level, 4 or 5, applies to the north accent wall in room 101?

Why it matters

A3.0 room 101 schedule calls for a level 4 gypsum finish with accent paint P-2 at the north wall; the A4.0 north wall detail calls the accent wall a level 5 finish, full height.

Item 21 · EMT fitting type: set-screw fittings banned on E1.0 but allowed in dry locations on E2.0

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets E1.0, E2.0

> **E1.0 says**
> “ALL WIRING IN EMT UNLESS NOTED. EMT FITTINGS SHALL BE STEEL COMPRESSION TYPE; SET-SCREW FITTINGS ARE NOT PERMITTED.”

> **E2.0 says**
> “EMT MAY BE USED WITH STEEL SET-SCREW FITTINGS IN DRY LOCATIONS. USE COMPRESSION FITTINGS IN THE KITCHEN.”

Question to send

Which EMT fitting type applies in dry locations, compression or set-screw?

Why it matters

E1.0 general note says EMT fittings are steel compression type and set-screw fittings are not permitted. E2.0 note allows steel set-screw fittings in dry locations and requires compression fittings in the kitchen.

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

Item 22 · TC-1 time clock circuit referenced to E1.0 but not shown there

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets E2.0, E1.0

> **E2.0 says**
> "…THE EXTERIOR SIGN AND THE STOREFRONT DOWNLIGHTS ARE CONTROLLED BY A 7-DAY ASTRONOMIC TIME CLOCK, TC-1, NEXT TO PANEL LP-1.…"

> **E1.0 says**
> "CKT: 4 · DESCRIPTION: EXTERIOR SIGN · VA: 600 · BKR: 1 · BKR: 1 · VA: 1,200"

Question to send

Ask the engineer to show the TC-1 circuit and the controlled lighting on the panel schedule.

Why it matters

E2.0 says the exterior sign and storefront downlights are controlled by TC-1 and sends the reader to the E1.0 panel schedule for the TC-1 circuit. E1.0 has no TC-1 circuit, and circuit 4 is the exterior sign with no time clock control noted.

Item 23 · G0.1 requires 3 accessible seats, but A1.0 shows only 2

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets G0.1, A1.0

> **G0.1 says**
> "8. ACCESSIBLE DINING SEATING: AT LEAST 5% OF THE 52 SEATS, SO 3 ACCESSIBLE SEATING SPACES ARE REQUIRED, DISPERSED THROUGH THE DINING ROOM."

> **A1.0 says**
> "SEATING: ACCESSIBLE SEATING SPACES, AT THE TWO TABLES MARKED "A" · COUNT: 2 · SEATS: 2"

Question to send

Confirm the required count and revise the A1.0 layout to 3 spaces, or give the code basis for 2.

Why it matters

G0.1 note 8 says 5% of 52 seats requires 3 accessible seating spaces dispersed through the dining room. A1.0 seating summary shows 2 accessible seating spaces at the two tables marked A.

Item 24 · Stainless cook-line panels: KES to hood bottom on K1.0, GC to ceiling on A4.0

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets K1.0, A4.0, A3.0, M1.0

> **K1.0 says**
> "…STAINLESS STEEL BACKSPLASH PANELS BEHIND THE COOK LINE, FROM THE TOP OF THE BASE TO THE BOTTOM OF THE HOOD, ARE FURNISHED AND INSTALLED BY…"

> **A4.0 says**
> "…STAINLESS STEEL WALL PANELS AT THE COOK LINE…"

> **A3.0 says**
> "…STAINLESS PANELS AT THE COOK LINE, SEE A4.0 · CEILING…"

> **M1.0 says**
> "…PROVIDE A STAINLESS STEEL CLOSURE PANEL FROM THE TOP OF THE HOOD TO THE CEILING."

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

> **A4.0 says**
> “STAINLESS STEEL WALL PANELS BEHIND LINE, FROM THE TOP OF THE BASE TO THE BY GC. SEALANT AT ALL JOINTS”

Question to send

Who furnishes the stainless cook-line panels, and do they run from base to hood or base to ceiling with a closure above the hood?

Why it matters

K1.0 note 5 has KES furnish stainless backsplash from base to hood bottom. A4.0 note 4 and elevation show 18 GA stainless panels by the GC, and M1.0 adds a closure panel from hood top to ceiling.

Item 25 · Grease duct: KES furnishes and MC installs vs MC furnishes and installs

CONFLICT MEDIUM IMPACT

Sheets K1.0, M1.0, G0.0, S1.0

> **K1.0 says**
> “2. THE HOOD AND THE GREASE DUCT ARE FURNISHED BY THE KES. THE MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR INSTALLS THE GREASE DUCT.”

> **M1.0 says**
> “…16 GA BLACK STEEL WITH CONTINUOUS LIQUID-TIGHT EXTERNAL WELDS, AND A LISTED 2-HOUR GREASE DUCT ENCLOSURE WRAP FROM THE HOOD TO THE ROOF….”

> **G0.0 says**
> “…THE KITCHEN EQUIPMENT SUPPLIER (KES)….”

> **S1.0 says**
> “NEW OPENING FOR THE GREASE DUCT, SEE 2/S1.0”

Question to send

Confirm in writing who furnishes and who installs the grease duct and 2-hour wrap.

Why it matters

K1.0 note 2 says KES furnishes the hood and grease duct and the MC installs it. M1.0 says the MC furnishes and installs the duct and 2-hour wrap. S1.0 shows a new roof opening for the duct.

Low impact (2)

Item 26 · Floor transition max 1/4 in. against 1/2 in. threshold at door 101

CONFLICT LOW IMPACT

Sheets A1.0, A5.0

> **A1.0 says**
> “5. ALL FLOOR TRANSITIONS SHALL BE FLUSH OR BEVELED PER ICC A117.1. MAXIMUM 1/4" VERTICAL CHANGE.”

> **A5.0 says**
> “1 THRESHOLD, 1/2" MAX. HEIGHT, BEVELED”

Question to send

Which maximum height applies at the door 101 threshold, 1/4 in. or 1/2 in.?

Why it matters

A1.0 note 5 allows a maximum 1/4 in. vertical floor change; A5.0 threshold note for door 101 allows a 1/2 in. maximum height.

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

Item 27 · Hood suppression permit assigned to GC on K1.0 but hood supplier on M1.0

CONFLICT LOW IMPACT

Sheets K1.0, M1.0

> **K1.0 says**
> "…EXHAUST HOOD, TYPE I, 14'-0", WITH FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM AND HOOD CONTROL PANEL · BY…"

> **K1.0 says**
> "4. THE HOOD FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM IS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED BY THE HOOD SUPPLIER. THE GC OBTAINS THE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM PERMIT."

> **M1.0 says**
> "…THE HOOD FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM PERMIT IS OBTAINED BY THE HOOD SUPPLIER."

Question to send

Who obtains and pays for the hood fire suppression permit: the GC or the hood supplier?

Why it matters

K1.0 item 7 and note 4 say the GC obtains the suppression permit. M1.0 says the hood fire suppression permit is obtained by the hood supplier.

4 Not in this read — 0 items

No sheet in the index was set aside from this read.

Automated AI report. Not engineering advice and not sealed. See the important notice at the end of this report before relying on any finding.

SheetRisk automated AI report sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42 · Not engineering/architectural advice; not sealed
Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk

IMPORTANT NOTICE: READ BEFORE USING THIS REPORT

What this is.
This report was generated automatically by SheetRisk software, using artificial intelligence (AI) and text recognition, from the drawing files uploaded by the customer on Nov 10, 2026, 12:09 AM EST. Report ID sr_DXwcMK7-TT3Ns-42; software version 8653b7e6-0421; files analyzed: 13 sheets (listed under Scope and limits).

What this is not.
This report is not engineering, architectural, surveying, legal, cost-estimating, appraisal, or inspection services. It is not signed or sealed by a licensed professional and does not replace review by one. SheetRisk does not certify code compliance, constructability, cost, schedule, or safety.

AI limits.
AI output can be wrong, incomplete, or inconsistent, and the same files may produce different results on different runs. Findings may be missed (false negatives) or flagged in error (false positives). Text recognition can misread small, rotated, or low-quality text. Any "risk level", "money-pit indicator", or "likely change order" is a software indicator for review, not a cost estimate, a prediction, or a professional opinion.

Verify before relying.
Every finding must be checked against the source drawings by a qualified, licensed professional before any decision about design, bidding, pricing, lending, construction, or safety.

Who may rely.
This report is provided only to the customer under the SheetRisk Terms of Service (https://sheetrisk.com/terms). No one else may rely on it, including lenders, owners, sureties, insurers, investors or other parties, unless SheetRisk has signed a written reliance letter naming them. Sharing this report does not give anyone else any rights.

Liability.
SHEETRISK'S LIABILITY FOR THIS REPORT IS LIMITED AS STATED IN THE TERMS OF SERVICE (https://sheetrisk.com/terms), TO THE FEE PAID FOR THIS REPORT.

Confidential.
This report may contain confidential information from the uploaded drawings. Handle it as you would the drawings themselves.

Verify all findings with a licensed professional · For the customer only; no third-party reliance · © 2026 Eastbridge Partners LLC DBA SheetRisk